

Enhanced Startle Modulation to Contamination Pictures in **Obsessive-Compulsive Disorder: A Case Study**

Gregg J. Selke^{1,2}, Ania Mikos^{1,2}, Utaka S. Springer^{1,2}, Michael S. Okun^{2,3}, Wayne K. Goodman^{3,4}, & Dawn Bowers^{1,2}



¹Department of Clinical & Health Psychology, ²McKnight Brain Institute, ³Department of Neurology, ⁴Department of Psychiatry University of Florida, Gainesville, Florida

INTRODUCTION

In normal adults, the startle eyeblink response is larger during negative emotional states (e.g., fear, anxiety) compared to pleasant emotional states (Bradley, 2000; Vrana et al., 1988). This phenomenon, known as affective modulation of the startle reflex (AMSR), has become a valuable tool for measuring emotional and physiological reactivity in normal, psychiatric, and neurologically impaired individuals.

Aversion Enhancement of Startle Eyeblink



Unpleasant Neutral Pleasant

- Motivational or valence marker
- · Primed under fear, anxiety, aversion • Inhibited during pleasant state
- · High arousal important



Purpose of Present Study

- To learn whether a patient with Obsessive Compulsive Disorder (OCD) would display greatest reactivity to content specific preoccupations (i.e., blood contamination).
- We hypothesized greater startle reactivity to pictures of blood relative to other contaminants and threat pictures.

METHODS

- Case: 34-yr-old woman with a 10 yr history of intractable OCD
- Psychiatric history described in Okun et al., 2004; normal neurologic exam: normal MRI
- Fear of contamination by bodily fluids, especially blood
- Avoided humans and objects perceived as contaminated
- Repeatedly performed complex cleaning rituals
- Unresponsive to multiple pharmaceutical agents and CBT
- Hamilton Depression Scale 17-item survey score = 12. mildly elevated; Met DSM-IV criteria for major depression
- Yale-Brown Obsessive Compulsive Scale = 38/40, extremely severe
- Approximately 6 months prior to the evaluation, underwent Deep Brain Stimulation (DBS) surgery for treatment of her OCD
- · Leads implanted bilaterally in the anterior limbs of the internal capsule in the region of the nucleus accumbens. Stimulator turned off during startle procedure

Startle Eyeblink Task

Patient tested across 3 sessions. During each trial, a picture was shown for 6 seconds, during which time a 95 db white noise was delivered via headphones to elicit a startle eyeblink. The magnitude of the startle response was recorded for each eye via electrodes over the orbicularis oculi muscles; these signals were amplified (gain = 30,000) and integrated (200 ms time constant). All measures were obtained on a trial-bytrial basis. Subjective ratings were also obtained.

SAMPLE TRIAL



Emotion Pictures

Blood Disgust

- ✓ 3 sets of standardized emotional pictures from the IAPS
- Each set consisted of 9 Pleasant, 9 Neutral, & 9 Unpleasant Pictures (27/set)

Unpleasant Picture Types







RESULTS

60

58

56

54

52

50

Δ۶

DS+Ise-ccs+



Greatest startle reactivity to blood contamination



Greater startle reactivity to "disgust" than "direct threat" pictures

- Greatest startle reactivity with content specific to patient's most intense preoccupation (contamination by bodily fluids -blood)
- No evidence of change in pattern with repeated exposure (across) sessions)

CONCLUSIONS

- * Our findings suggest that OCD patients with contamination preoccupations may show greatest startle reactivity to content specific to their contamination.
- * These findings are consistent with a recent fMRI study showing a similar pattern of activation for threat pictures comparing controls to OCD patients with contamination preoccupations, but a different pattern of activation for disgust pictures (greater activation for disgust pictures in the right insula, inferior frontal region, and parahippocampal region in OCD; Shapira et al., 2003).
- The case study raises the possibility of using AMSR to evaluate treatment response.
- Future research should evaluate emotional reactivity in treatment naïve OCD patients (CBT, Medication, DBS) and longitudinally following treatment.

Acknowledgements: Supported by: NS93211, MH54632, CRC RR00082, NIH:MH63545



Non-Blood Disgust

Threat